



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/535,128

04/04/2006

James J. Collins

0079571-0094

3605

24280 7590 05/29/2009  
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP  
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE  
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

HIBBERT, CATHERINE S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1636

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/29/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@choate.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/535,128	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COLLINS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CATHERINE HIBBERT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CATHERINE HIBBERT. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles E. Lyon, D.Phil., J.D.. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In a phone conversation, Attorney Charles E. Lyon, D.Phil., J.D., informed the office that the sequences listed in the sequence listing do not match the sequences designated in the figures by the same SEQ ID NOs. (e.g. See specifically SEQ ID NO: 57 and 58 of Figure 3a and 3b). As this precludes examination on the merits, it was discussed that a Sequence Compliance Letter would be sent regarding the issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NANCY VOGEL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1636	Catherine Hibbert
--	-------------------